Abstract: By combining the concepts of in situ thermotropic liquid crystalline polymer (TLCP) composites and conventional fiber composites, a recyclable and high-performance in situ hybrid polypropylene-based composite was successfully developed. The recycled hybrid composite was prepared by injection molding and grinding processes. Rheological and thermal analyses were utilized to optimize the processing temperature of the injection molding process to reduce the melt viscosity and minimize the degradation of polypropylene. The ideal temperature for blending the hybrid composite was found to be 305 °C. The influence of mechanical recycling on the different combinations of TLCP and glass fiber composites was analyzed. When the weight fraction ratio of TLCP to glass fiber was 2 to 1, the hybrid composite exhibited better processability, improved tensile performance, lower mechanical anisotropy, and greater recyclability compared to the polypropylene reinforced by either glass fiber or TLCP alone.
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1. Introduction

The early constructions of vehicles like automobiles, locomotives, and aircraft were designed using dense metals with high strength capabilities. However, recent advancements in material science have enabled fiber-reinforced composites to replace traditional metals because of higher strength-to-weight ratios [1–3]. For instance, aluminum has traditionally been one of the most common metals used in the aerospace industry, but its usage dropped from 50% in the Boeing 777 aircraft to only 20% in the Boeing 787 [1]. The advantages that fiber reinforced composite materials have over traditional metal materials include: (1) light weight, (2) high stiffness and strength, (3) corrosion resistance, and (4) design flexibility. These attributes have been embraced by the automotive industry, which has increased its use of fiber-reinforced composite materials to improve fuel efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Among all of the different types of reinforcements utilized on a commercial scale, 65% of the revenue generated by the sale of fiber reinforced materials comes from glass fiber [4]. In 2020, the global glass fiber reinforced composite market is expected to grow to about 60 billion dollars [5]. Glass fiber is especially attractive as a reinforcement for composites because of its low cost, superior mechanical and physical properties (e.g., stiffness and strength, impact resistance, stability, and durability). The tensile modulus and the strength of E-glass fiber are around 72 GPa and 3.5 GPa, respectively. This outperforms aluminum with a tensile modulus of 68.9 GPa and tensile strength of 310 MPa [6,7].

Thermotropic liquid crystalline polymers (TLCPs) are another type of reinforcement that is being extensively studied and used in both academia and industry [8,9]. Tremendous efforts have been made
toward the development of TLCPs that exhibit high modulus and strength coupled with outstanding melt processability [8,10–12]. The drawn TLCP filaments display a modulus of up to 100 GPa and tensile strength of about 1.5 GPa, which is comparable to the properties of E-glass fiber [13].

Both glass fiber and TLCP have excellent mechanical performance, high strength-to-weight ratio, and chemical resistance, but glass fiber is still a more attractive reinforcement choice over TLCP for three major reasons. First, TLCPs are more expensive than glass fiber. Depending on the grade of TLCP, the cost may range from eight to 12 dollars per pound [14]. Second, glass fiber has a higher tensile strength than TLCPs, especially when the TLCP is not generated using the fiber spinning or strand extrusion process. The full reinforcing potential of the TLCP fiber cannot be achieved under other processing techniques. Finally, glass fiber reinforced composites have lower mechanical anisotropy than their TLCP-filled counterparts. This is primarily due to the TLCP fibrils being created in situ under strong unidirectional elongation and shear flow [15].

One of the advantages of using TLCPs in reinforcing thermoplastic materials is the processability. It is well known that the incorporation of glass fibers into thermoplastics results in a substantial increase in viscosity, which gives rise to the difficulty in processing and high energy consumption [8]. During the processing of a TLCP composite melt, rigid chain TLCP molecules adopt highly oriented states relative to the partially oriented flexible chain molecules displayed by conventional thermoplastics [16]. Therefore, the melt viscosity of TLCP reinforced composites become much lower than that of glass-filled composites, leading to more facile processing. In addition, the surface smoothness of TLCP reinforced composites is greater than that of composites reinforced by glass fiber only [17]. The higher surface smoothness is related to the diameter of TLCP fibrils, which is one order of magnitude less than glass fiber. Additionally, the density of TLCP is around 1.4 g/cm³, which is about half the density of E-glass fiber (2.58 g/cm³) [7,18]. The composite parts that utilize TLCP exhibit a lower weight than those of glass fiber, making the TLCP composite an attractive material, specifically for transportation applications. Finally, the recyclability of the TLCP composite has been found to be superior to glass-filled systems [19]. TLCP is able to regenerate a highly oriented molecular structure during the reprocessing while glass fiber would suffer severe fiber breakage during the recycling process.

To capitalize on the advantages of using TLCPs and glass fibers, composites consisting of both TLCPs and glass fiber as reinforcements in thermoplastics have been studied [20–25]. The in situ hybrid composite consists of three components: microscopic TLCP fibrils, macroscopic conventional fibers (e.g., glass and carbon fiber), and the matrix polymer [25]. Bafna et al. [23] reported the use of glass fiber and TLCP reinforcements to enhance the mechanical properties and reduce the mechanical anisotropy of in situ TLCP composites with polyetherimide (PEI) as the matrix material. Tensile and flexural moduli increased and the anisotropy reduced with increasing glass fiber content. Furthermore, the creep performance of PEI composites improved when TLCP and glass fiber were blended together. Another study looked to combine the advantages of short fiber composites and the TLCP composite. He et al. [25] investigated the mechanical, rheological, and morphological properties of hybrid in situ carbon fiber or glass fiber/TLCP composite systems. Improvement in tensile and flexural properties, lower melt viscosity, and more oriented fibers in the flow direction have been observed.

Although composite materials have a variety of advantages, one of the major challenges for fiber reinforced composites is their recyclability. The disposal of composite waste in an environmentally friendly manner is a crucial task to our society. Typically, fiber-reinforced composite materials are very difficult and energy intensive to recycle due to the nature of heterogeneity, technology limitations, high recycling cost, and low quality of recycled products. More restrictive environmental legislation drives the market toward recycling and reusing fiber reinforced composites. There are three major recycling methods to reclaim fiber reinforced composites: (1) thermal process, (2) solvolysis, and (3) mechanical recycling [26–29]. Mechanical recycling has less environmental impact, can recover both fiber and matrix polymer, and requires no use of solvents compared to thermal and solvent methods [30]. Mechanical recycling uses the principles of shredding or crushing the composite part into small particulates and then feeding these into a manufacturing machine to produce recycled parts.
The recycled composites have very limited applications. Usually, the recycled composites, acting as “filler”, are blended with virgin materials to make a product with similar performance as new “virgin” parts. The incorporation level of the recycled composites is usually no more than 10 wt % to minimize the negative impact from the recycled materials [30].

Mechanical recycling is considered environmentally friendly and cost-effective. However, the application of this method is hindered because the recycling process reduces the performance of subsequent composite parts. Extensive investigations have been carried out on the influence of mechanical recycling on the properties of glass or carbon fiber reinforced composites [31–34]. The mechanical properties of fiber reinforced composites decreased significantly after mechanical recycling, which is mainly due to fiber attrition during the recycling process. The need for developing a recyclable and high-performance composite is becoming extremely urgent.

Previous work has explored the effect of mechanical recycling on the properties of TLCP and glass fiber reinforced polypropylene [19]. The results illustrated that the TLCP composite had superior recyclability relative to that of its glass fiber reinforced counterpart. It is of great interest to determine whether there exists a formulation of TLCP, glass fiber, and matrix polymer that may be mechanically recycled without compromising the mechanical performance of the subsequent composite part. The objective of this work was to utilize glass fiber and TLCP to develop a recyclable and high-performance in situ polypropylene-based hybrid composite. The in situ hybrid composites were mechanically recycled once by injection molding and grinding processes. The processing temperature was optimized through rheological analyses to improve the processability and reduce the thermal degradation of polypropylene. The optimal formulation of glass fiber and TLCP enables the best combination of high recyclability, high mechanical properties, and low mechanical anisotropy of the hybrid composite.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The thermotropic liquid crystalline polymer (TLCP) used in this study, trade name Vectra B950 and made by Celanese (Florence, KY, USA), is an aromatic poly(ester-co-amide) composed of 6-hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid (60 mol%), terephthalic acid (20 mol%), and aminophenol (20 mol%). The melting point of Vectra B950 is around 280 °C [35]. The long glass fiber (GF) reinforced polypropylene was provided by SABIC (Ottawa, IL, USA) as 8.0 mm long pellets with a reinforcement loading of 50 wt %. The diameter of the glass fiber is around 17 µm. The unfilled matrix polypropylene (PP), catalog name Pro-fax 6523, was purchased from LyondellBasell (Houston, TX, USA) and has a melt flow rate of 4.0 g/10 min at 230 °C [36].

2.2. Melt Compounding and Recycling of Hybrid Composites

There were four combinations of the glass fiber and TLCP composite used in this study. These composites were designated as 30GF/PP, 20GF10TLCP/PP, 10GF20TLCP/PP, and 30TLCP/PP. The number before the component represents the weight percent of that particular reinforcement in the composite. For instance, 20GF10TLCP/PP means that the composite consists of 20 wt % glass fiber, 10 wt % TLCP, and 70 wt % polypropylene. The weight fraction of reinforcement in each composite was kept at 30 wt %. To prepare the hybrid composites, the materials (e.g., TLCP, 50 wt % GF/PP, and PP) were dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 24 h. A single screw extruder with a 1-inch diameter screw and L/D ratio of 24 was used for compounding the TLCP and PP at 290 °C. The extrudate was cooled down in a water bath and pelletized. The TLCP/PP and GF/PP pellets were injection molded (BOY 35E) into end-gate plaques to form the pristine hybrid composite. The pristine hybrid composites were shredded by a granulator (Cumberland/John Brown D-99050). The recycled hybrid composites were prepared by injection molding the shredded materials. The injection molding temperature was optimized at 305 °C based on rheological analyses.
2.3. Rheological Measurements of Polypropylene, TLCP, and Hybrid Composite

An ARES-G2 rheometer with 25 mm parallel plates was used to investigate the viscoelastic properties of TLCP at four different experimental temperatures: 290, 300, 305, and 310 °C. All work was completed under a nitrogen atmosphere unless expressly stated as otherwise. Each sample was equilibrated to the experimental temperature for 5 min. First, pure TLCP pellets were loaded directly into the rheometer and then tested using a shear step strain at a strain of 0.5% [37]. The relaxation modulus (G(t)) at each temperature was plotted against time. Further experimentation on the pure TLCP pellets included running a small amplitude oscillatory shear (SAOS) frequency sweep per temperature to obtain the complex viscosity, storage modulus, and loss modulus. Next, virgin PP material was first tested using SAOS rheology under time sweep mode at each temperature. The complex viscosity of PP was tracked as a function of time per temperature. Finally, all the compositions of recycled GF/TLCP/PP hybrid materials were used to measure the complex viscosity for each composition using the SAOS frequency sweep at 305 °C.

2.4. Mechanical Properties

Rectangular bars with dimensions of 75.0 × 8.0 × 1.5 mm were cut from the injection molded end-gated plaques of pristine and recycled hybrid composites in the flow direction and perpendicular to flow direction (transverse direction). For the flow direction, three strips were cut from one edge of the plaque to the middle. The tensile properties of this plaque in the flow direction were the average properties of the three rectangular bars. At least five plaques for each composition were tested to obtain the average tensile properties. For the transverse direction, one strip was cut from the middle of the plaque and the tensile properties of each hybrid composite were the average properties of at least five plaques. All tensile properties of each material were measured using an Instron uniaxial tensile tester (Model 4204) with a 5 kN load cell. The tensile strains of the specimen were measured with an extensometer (MTS 634.12). The cross-head speed was set at 1.27 mm/min.

2.5. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Characterization

A DSC (TA Instruments Discovery) was used to examine the thermal properties of TLCP. Under a nitrogen atmosphere, a sample was subjected to a heat/cool/heat cycle. The material was first equilibrated at 50 °C for 5 min and then heated up to 320 °C at 10 °C/min. The materials were cooled down to 50 °C at −10 °C/min and then heated back to 320 °C at 10 °C/min. The melting temperature (T_m) was determined by the TA Instruments TRIOS software.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of the Injection Molding Temperature

To generate the in situ TLCP/glass fiber/polypropylene composites, the processing temperature has to be optimized using a series of rheological analyses. One advantage of blending TLCPs with other thermoplastics is the reduction in melt viscosity, which results in an improvement of the polymer blend’s processability. TLCPs have lower melt viscosity when compared to many thermoplastics, and to take advantage of this, thermal and rheological properties of TLCPs need to be examined. The TLCP used in this study has a melting point around 280 °C [35]. The rheological properties of TLCP above its melting point are critical in the processing of this material. Transient behaviors of TLCP are related to the rigid TLCP molecules and domain structure [37,38]. The transient responses of pure TLCP at various test temperatures, following step strain were measured, as shown in Figure 1. The relaxation modulus (G) is plotted against time on the log-log scale. At the testing temperatures below 305 °C, the relaxation modulus decreases gradually over time, producing a long relaxation tail. This is graphically demonstrated by the relaxing curves at 290 and 300 °C. On the other hand, the relaxation modulus (G) sharply dropped when the temperature was equal and above 305 °C. It is speculated that the slow decay of the relaxation modulus at low temperature is due to the presence of
unmelted crystals in the TLCP [37]. As the temperature further increased, TLCP crystals change to a liquid phase, causing the long relaxation tail to disappear, as seen by a dramatic drop in the relaxation modulus at the temperatures of 305 and 310 °C.

Figure 1. Stress relaxation of pure TLCP following a step shear strain at different test temperatures.

The complex viscosity ($|\eta^*|$) of pure TLCP was measured using a rheometer in the frequency sweep mode. Figure 2 illustrates the complex viscosity of TLCP as a function of frequency for each experimental temperature. At 290 and 300 °C, the $|\eta^*|$ is more than an order of magnitude higher than the complex viscosity of TLCP at 305 and 310 °C, suggesting the TLCP has greater resistance to flow at lower temperatures. This further reinforces the idea that at low temperatures, there may be unmelted TLCP crystals. The TLCP melt exhibits a weak dependence between the complex viscosity and frequency at a temperature of 305 °C and above. On the other hand, the relationship between complex viscosity and frequency at 290 and 300 °C unveils a stronger correlation with the change of angular frequency. TLCP’s usually exhibit three distinct regions of shear viscosity in which the first reflects a shear thinning behavior at low shear rates, followed by a Newtonian plateau, and eventually finishes with another shear thinning region at high shear rates [39]. In between the frequency range of 1–500 rad/s, the Newtonian region was not seen for the temperature at 290 and 300 °C, instead, the TLCP showed shear thinning behavior over the entire frequency sweep range. Only the Newtonian plateau and first shear thinning region were observed at 305 °C and 310 °C, which is probably due to the angular frequency not being low enough. Since the complex viscosities of TLCP at 305 and 310 °C almost overlap with each other, the viscosity of TLCP is not very sensitive to the changes in temperature in this temperature region. As the lowest complex viscosity of TLCP at all frequencies was exhibited at the temperature of 305 and 310 °C, the ideal processing temperature of TLCP blends would have to be equal to or higher than 305 °C to reduce the viscosity during processing.
complex viscosity ($|\eta^*|$) of polypropylene as a function of time at different experimental temperatures. The isothermal rheological tests were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere to simulate the nitrogen purge hopper used during the injection molding process. The overall residence time exposed to high temperature for processing was around 240 s. During this time, the viscosities of polypropylene decreased by about 14.6% at 290 °C and 42.9% at 310 °C. Polypropylene undergoes severe thermal degradation at 310 °C. The degradation rate of polypropylene at 300 °C and 305 °C in the nitrogen environment was much lower than at 310 °C, where the complex viscosity dropped around 26.7% at 305 °C (Figure 5). Therefore, to achieve better processability and reduce the thermal degradation of the polypropylene, 305 °C was selected as the processing temperature for injection molding of the hybrid composite materials.

Figure 1. Stress relaxation of pure TLCP following a step shear strain at different test temperatures.

Figure 2. Complex viscosity of TLCP at temperatures from 290 to 310 °C.

The viscoelastic properties of pure TLCP at different temperatures were studied using oscillatory shear rheology. Figure 3 illustrates the change of storage ($G'$) and loss ($G''$) moduli of TLCP as the function of angular frequency at varying operational temperatures. Storage modulus and loss modulus measure the stored energy and energy dissipated as heat, respectively. At 290 °C, the storage modulus was higher than the loss modulus over the entire frequency range, suggesting that the TLCP exhibits a solid-like character. After a 10 °C increase, the curves for $G'$ and $G''$ crossover at 200 rad/s. The crossover frequency characterizes the transition of a polymer melt from the elastic (solid-like) to the viscous (liquid-like) state. At 305 °C and above, the $G''$ is higher than $G'$ at all angular frequencies, indicating that the TLCP behaves like a viscous liquid. The $G'' > G'$ over the entire frequency range is probably due to the complete melt of TLCP crystals. The transitioning effect observed from the dramatic change of viscoelastic properties of TLCP with an increase in the testing temperature reinforces the notion that the existence of TLCP crystals significantly impacts the rheological behavior of TLCP.

Figure 3. Storage modulus and loss modulus of TLCP at different temperatures above its melting point.
A differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) was utilized to study the melting behavior of pure TLCP and to confirm any residual crystals of TLCP at temperatures above 280 °C. Two distinct transition phases were observed during the melting of TLCP, as shown by the two peaks in the DSC heating scan in Figure 4. The first peak was observed at around 280 °C and the second peak was observed around 297 °C. The different crystal structures develop during the process, leading to the mesophase transition of TLCP [40]. Literature reports state that the melting point of TLCP is around 280 °C [35]. Several studies have successfully melt processed the PP with TLCP between 285 to 300 °C, resulting in improved mechanical performance [35,41,42]. Nevertheless, to utilize the low viscosity effect of TLCP, the processing temperature must be high enough to melt all the TLCP crystals. Thus, the melting endotherm ends around 305 °C, which confirms that the TLCP crystals have completely melted and shows consistency with the results obtained from the rheological analyses.

![DSC heating scan of the TLCP material with the heating rate of 10 °C/min.](image)

**Figure 4.** DSC heating scan of the TLCP material with the heating rate of 10 °C/min.

Before processing the in situ hybrid GF/TLCP/PP composite, the PP used as the matrix of the composite needs to be tested to make sure it can withstand the high temperatures for processing. The high processing temperature improves the processability of the polymer blend, but also raises a concern regarding the thermal degradation of the polypropylene. The thermal stability of polypropylene was measured using the isothermal time sweep rheological test. Figure 5 shows the complex viscosity (|η*|) of polypropylene as a function of time at different experimental temperatures. The isothermal rheological tests were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere to simulate the nitrogen purge hopper used during the injection molding process. The overall residence time exposed to high temperature for processing was around 240 s.

During this time, the viscosities of polypropylene decreased by about 14.6% at 290 °C and 42.9% at 310 °C. Polypropylene undergoes severe thermal degradation at 310 °C. The degradation rate of polypropylene at 300 °C and 305 °C in the nitrogen environment was much lower than at 310 °C, where the complex viscosity dropped around 26.7% at 305 °C (Figure 5). Therefore, to achieve better processability and reduce the thermal degradation of the polypropylene, 305 °C was selected as the processing temperature for injection molding of the hybrid composite materials.
3.2. Rheology of the In Situ TLCP/GF Hybrid Composites

To characterize the rheological properties of the recycled hybrid composite, small amplitude oscillatory shear (SAOS) frequency sweep tests were carried out at 305 °C. The complex viscosity was plotted against the angular frequency for each formation of the recycled hybrid composite, as indicated in Figure 6. Hybrid composites with varying TLCP and glass fiber compositions displayed dramatic differences in rheological behaviors. At low frequencies, the viscosity increased with increasing glass fiber concentration. When glass fiber was used as the sole reinforcement in the composite, the viscosity jumped two-fold compared to its TLCP counterpart at 305 °C. At low frequencies, the complex viscosity plateau was not observed for the glass-filled hybrid composite, but rather the complex viscosity appreciably increased with the decrease in angular frequency. This response of the composite to low frequency was due to the fiber–fiber and glass–fiber matrix interactions in glass-filled systems [43]. As frequency increases, these interactions are interrupted, and the complex viscosity of glass-filled composite decreases dramatically. On the other hand, TLCP/PP showed overall weak dependence between complex viscosity and angular frequency. The incorporation of glass fibers into the TLCP/PP composite significantly increased the complex viscosity of the hybrid composite. Especially in the low angular frequency, the complex viscosity of the hybrid composite increased exponentially with the addition of glass fiber. The hybrid composite with a higher concentration of TLCP showed significant reduction in viscosity, thereby confirming that TLCP reduced the viscosity of polymer blends [8]. Not only did the TLCP increase the processability of the GF/PP composite by lowering the melt viscosity, but it also mitigated the fiber breakage issue by reducing stresses acting on the fibers by the matrix polymer. In turn, diminishing fiber breakage leads to higher mechanical performance of the composites [44–46].

One concern regarding this rheological test is the thermal degradation of polypropylene at 305 °C, which decreases the complex viscosity over time. This may cause the ill-defined viscosity data at high frequency (rheological test sweeps the frequency from low to high), but this frequency sweep test provides a method to qualify the benefit of a higher TLCP concentration, resulting in the lower viscosity of a hybrid composite.
The tensile properties of each hybrid composite are depicted in Figures 7–9. The modulus of pristine 30 wt % GF/PP was 5.07 GPa, and the modulus of pristine TLCP/PP was about 3.83 GPa. The lower modulus of the TLCP blend was speculated to have originated from the injection molding process, which is unable to achieve high elongational deformation. The tensile modulus of 26 wt % TLCP/PP is reported to be 13.5 GPa when the sample is prepared by strand extrusion [47]. By replacing 10 wt % of the TLCP with glass fiber, the tensile modulus improved by 7.4%. The tensile modulus of the hybrid composite fell between the 30 wt % GF/PP and TLCP/PP. To determine the effect of mechanical recycling on the hybrid composite materials, the pristine composites were ground and injection molded into end-gated plaques. After recycling, the tensile modulus of the GF/PP composite dropped to 4.87 GPa while the tensile modulus of TLCP/PP remained the same. The major factor for the decrease in the GF/PP composite modulus was the fiber length attrition during the recycling process [34]. The TLCP was able to regenerate the TLCP fibrils during the mold filling process, and the TLCP-filled composites maintained their mechanical performance after mechanical recycling. In addition, the recyclability of a glass-filled composite was improved with the presence of TLCP, as seen with the 20GF10TLCP/PP hybrid composite only losing 4.1% of its modulus after recycling.

The tensile strengths of the pristine and recycled hybrid composites are shown in Figure 8. The tensile strength of pristine GF/PP was much higher than that of TLCP/PP because the tensile strength of the TLCP (~0.5 GPa) was lower than the tensile strength of GF (~3.5 GPa). The same difference in the properties of the composites was also expected to be seen [47,48]. By adding glass fiber into the TLCP blend, the tensile strength was significantly enhanced. Replacing 10 wt % TLCP with glass fiber enabled the improvement of the tensile strength from 36.8 to 50.0 MPa. Mechanical recycling imposes different degrees of impact on the tensile strength of hybrid composites. The tensile strength of 30 wt % GF/PP dropped from 71.5 to 61.7 MPa after recycling, but there was only a 9.6% decrease in the tensile strength of 20GF10TLCP/PP. Just as for the tensile modulus, this was due to the severe fiber length attrition during the blending and grinding processes. The higher content of glass fiber and higher melt viscosity accelerated the fiber breakage during processing. The short glass fiber composite showed lower mechanical properties than the long glass fiber composite [46]. The higher content of TLCP in the hybrid composite lessened the decrease in the mechanical properties of the composites after recycling.
This is one of the major limitations when using in situ TLCP composites. For glass-filled composites, the glass fibers can be aligned in both flow and transverse-to-flow directions through flow kinematics during the mold filling process, leading to less mechanical anisotropy. As indicated in Table 1, the modulus of 30 wt% TLCP/PP was 3.83 and 1.76 in the flow and transverse-to-flow directions, respectively. The anisotropy for the TLCP/PP composite was calculated to be 2.2, but the mechanical anisotropy of glass fiber reinforced polypropylene was only 1.35. Therefore, the anisotropy of the TLCP-filled composite can be lessened with the addition of glass fiber, which is demonstrated in Table 1, where we can see that the 10GF20TLCP/PP hybrid composite had its mechanical anisotropy reduced by 26%. The hybrid composites effectively reduced the mechanical anisotropy of the in situ TLCP composite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Flow Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Transverse Mechanical Anisotropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30GF/PP</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20GF10TLCP/PP</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10GF20TLCP/PP</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30TLCP/PP</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Tensile modulus of pristine and recycled hybrid composites in the flow direction.

Figure 8. Tensile strength of pristine and recycled hybrid composites in the flow direction.

The mechanical properties of the hybrid composites in the transverse-to-flow direction were measured and are shown in Figure 9. The rectangular bar was cut from injection-molded, end-gated plaques in the direction perpendicular-to-flow in order to obtain the performance of the hybrid composites in the transverse-to-flow direction. Similar to the mechanical behaviors in the flow direction, the tensile properties of TCLP/PP were lower than the GF/PP due to the combining effect of different mechanical properties of the pure materials used and processing methods. The addition of 10 wt% glass fiber improved the tensile modulus of TLCP/PP from 1.8 to 2.5 GPa. In terms of recyclability, the large decrease in the mechanical properties of GF/PP after recycling can be seen in Figure 9. The extent of the decrease in the mechanical properties of the composites after recycling in the transverse-to-flow direction was mitigated by replacing glass fiber with TLCP. The tensile strength of 10GF20TLCP/PP only decreased from 21.4 to 20.2 MPa after mechanical recycling, while the 30 wt% TLCP/PP composite exhibited little or no change in the tensile modulus and strength in the transverse-to-flow direction. Hybrid composite formulations provide the balance between recyclability and mechanical performance.
Table 1. Mechanical anisotropy of the hybrid composites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Modulus (GPa) Flow</th>
<th>Modulus (GPa) Transverse</th>
<th>Mechanical Anisotropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30GF/PP</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20GF10TLCP/PP</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10GF20TLCP/PP</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30TLCP/PP</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Mechanical Anisotropy of the Hybrid TLCP/GF Composite

Mechanical anisotropy is an important parameter to evaluate the performance of the composite material. Table 1 illustrates the tensile modulus of the composites in the flow and transverse-to-flow directions as well as the mechanical anisotropy. Mechanical anisotropy is the ratio of the property in the flow direction over the property in the transverse-to-flow direction. Several studies have been published about the mechanical anisotropy of injection-molded composites [23,49,50].

TLCP composites have significantly higher mechanical anisotropy than their glass-filled counterparts, which is caused by TLCP fibrils being generated in the direction of elongational flow developed at the melt front. The transverse-to-flow direction has less TLCP fibrils than the flow direction. With a higher content of TLCP in the blend, the degrees of mechanical anisotropy increased rapidly [50]. This is one of the major limitations when using in situ TLCP composites. For glass-filled composites, the glass fibers can be aligned in both flow and transverse-to-flow directions through flow kinematics during the mold filling process, leading to less mechanical anisotropy. As indicated in Table 1, the modulus of 30 wt % TLCP/PP was 3.83 and 1.76 in the flow and transverse-to-flow directions, respectively. The anisotropy for the TLCP/PP composite was calculated to be 2.2, but the mechanical anisotropy of glass fiber reinforced polypropylene was only 1.35. Therefore, the anisotropy of the TLCP-filled composite can be lessened with the addition of glass fiber, which is demonstrated in Table 1, where we can see that the 10GF20TLCP/PP hybrid composite had its mechanical anisotropy reduced by 26%. The hybrid composites effectively reduced the mechanical anisotropy of the in situ TLCP composite.

3.5. Recyclability of the Hybrid TLCP/GF Composite Material

To evaluate the recyclability of each composite material, the mechanical properties of the recycled composite were compared against the pristine counterpart. The normalized values of the tensile properties of the hybrid composites are presented in Figure 10. The normalized values were obtained by dividing the tensile properties of the recycled composites by their pristine material properties. For the tensile properties of the hybrid composites in the flow direction, the normalized values increased with increasing weight fraction of TLCP, suggesting an improvement in the recyclability with the presence of TLCP.
where a low KD factor means better recyclability. The knock-down factor for a composite is determined with increasing TLCP concentration. The tensile modulus of GF/PP properties are significantly influenced by the content of glass fiber and TLCP in both the flow and transverse-to-flow direction. In the injection molding process, long fiber polymer blends have a larger core region where fibers are randomly oriented [51,52]. These effects may lead to large drops in the tensile modulus of GF/PP in the transverse-to-flow direction. The normalized values of tensile properties are significantly influenced by the content of glass fiber and TLCP in both the flow and transverse-to-flow directions. The recycling process has a greater impact on the tensile strength of composite materials than their tensile modulus in both the flow and transverse-to-flow directions.

Figure 10b exhibits the normalized tensile properties of the hybrid composite in the transverse-to-flow direction. The properties follow a similar trend as before where properties increased with increasing TLCP concentration. The tensile modulus of GF/PP dropped significantly after recycling, which may be due to the joint influence of fiber breakage and decrease of fiber orientation in the transverse-to-flow direction. In the injection molding process, long fiber polymer blends have a larger core region where fibers are randomly oriented [51,52]. These effects may lead to large drops in the tensile modulus of GF/PP in the transverse-to-flow direction. The normalized values of tensile properties are significantly influenced by the content of glass fiber and TLCP in both the flow and transverse-to-flow directions. The recycling process has a greater impact on the tensile strength of composite materials than their tensile modulus in both the flow and transverse-to-flow directions.

Figure 10. Percentage of mechanical properties of the recycled composite to pristine composite in (a) flow direction and (b) transverse-to-flow directions.

The knock-down (KD) factor is used to quantify the degree of recyclability of a hybrid composite where a low KD factor means better recyclability. The knock-down factor for a composite is determined by comparing the property of recycled material relative to its pristine material [53]. The KD factor is defined by the following equation:

$$ KD = \left(1 - \frac{P_r}{P_p}\right) \times 100(\%) $$

where $P_r/P_p$ is the ratio of recycled material to the pristine material property. Table 2 shows the KD factor of each composite in the flow direction. The KD factor of tensile modulus and strength for glass fiber reinforced polypropylene was 3.8 and 13.7, respectively. The KD factor decreased in value with increasing TLCP concentration, thereby confirming that the higher TLCP weight fraction in a TLCP/GF hybrid composite gives rise to greater recyclability. Composites with a KD factor less than five are generally considered to be within design limits for various applications where the recycled part can be used to replace that made of pristine material [53]. The formulation of 10 wt % glass fiber and 20 wt % TLCP resulted in the creation of a recyclable and high-performance hybrid material. Based on Figure 10, the formulation that contains a ratio of 2 to 1 or higher TLCP to glass fiber will yield a recyclable hybrid composite.
Table 2. The knock down (KD) factor of the in situ hybrid composite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>KD (Modulus)</th>
<th>KD (Strength)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30GF/PP</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20GF10TLCP/PP</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10GF20TLCP/PP</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30TLCP/PP</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

It has been found that the combination of TLCP fibrils and glass fiber can result in a high-performance and recyclable hybrid composite. The processing temperature of the injection-molding process was determined by rheological analyses and DSC. To provide a low viscosity blend to ensure that all the TLCP crystals were melted and to reduce excessive thermal degradation of polypropylene, the composites were processed at 305 °C. In situ hybrid composites were successfully generated at the optimized processing temperature. Due to the hybrid nature of the glass fiber and TLCP, the in situ hybrid composite exhibited balanced performance with respect to processability, mechanical properties, and recyclability. The 10 wt % glass fiber and 20 wt % TLCP hybrid composite material was the best formulation of the hybrid composite because it lowered the melt viscosity, thereby increasing its processability while maintaining high tensile properties, lowered mechanical anisotropy, and increased recyclability of the TLCP/GF hybrid composite.
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